

WASHINGTON STATE COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION I

Sing Cho Ng

Appellant/Petirioner

V

Bing Kung Association, Management Company Of The New American Hotel, Its President Tom Cheng, Its Manager Yau Shen Chen, Its Directors, Officers, Agents, Representatives, Employees, Five Pending Unidentified Members And Does

Appellees

Supreme Court No. 94588-31 Court of Appeals No. 75751-2 KCSC No. 16-2-00051-7

SING CHO NG'S MOTION
FOR WAIVER OF FILING FEE IN
THE SUPREME COURT FOR
REVIEW OF COURT OF APPEALS
DECISION TERMINATING
REVIEW OF KCSC 16-2-00051-7

- (1) I am Sing Cho Ng, Appellant of the above-captioned action. This is my motion for waiver of filing fee in the Supreme Court for review of Court Of Appeals Decision terminating review of KCSC case 16-2-00051-7.
- (2) A letter dated August 16, 2017, from the Supreme Court to instruct me that the motion I filed on June 5, 2017 for discretionary review should be redesignated a petition for review. See Attachment A.
- (3) Due to my extreme financial hardship, I am unable to pay the required filing fee for the redesignated review. I am requesting the Supreme Court to waive the required filing fee so that my case can receive review by the Supreme Court so that my case can be a review by the Supreme Court. I have attached the most recent Washington State Food Benefit record in support of my motion. See Attachment B.

Submitted September

_, 2017. T

NG CHO NG. Appellant/Petitioner Pro Se

SING CHO NG, Appellant/Fetitioner F10 S

Appellant's Motion for Waiver of Jiling Fee

Supreme Court #94599-3, COA Case #75751-2

SING CHO NG

P. O. Box 14551, WA 98114, 206-861-3382

1



WASHINGTON STATE COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION I

Sing Cho Ng

Appellant/Petirioner

Bing Kung Association, Management Company Of The New American Hotel, Its President Tom Cheng, Its Manager Yau Shen Chen, Its Directors, Officers, Agents, Representatives, Employees, Five Pending Unidentified Members And Does

Appellees/Respondent

Supreme Court No. 94588-3 94599-3 Court of Appeals No. 75751-2 KCSC No. 16-2-00051-7

SING CHO NG'S MOTION
FOR WAIVER OF FILING FEE IN
THE SUPREME COURT FOR
REVIEW OF COURT OF APPEALS
DECISION TERMINATING
REVIEW OF KCSC 16-2-00051-7

This is to certify that a conformed copy of Appellant/Petitioner's

MOTION FOR WAIVER OF FILING FEE IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR REVIEW OF COURT OF APPEALS DECISION TERMINATING REVIEW OF KCSC 16-2-00051-7

has been served on

Loeffler Law Group at 500 Union Street Suite 1025, Seattle WA 98101 206-443-8678/ Fax:206-443-4545

on same day of filing with this Court.

Submitted September

, 2017.

SING CHO NG, Appellant/Petitioner F

•

Appellant's Motion for Waiver of Filing Fee Supreme Court #94599-3, COA Case #75751-2 SING CHO NG

P. O. Box 14551, WA 98114, 206-861-3382

THE SUPREME COURT

SUSAN L. CARLSON SUPREME COURT CLERK

ERIN L. LENNON DEPUTY CLERK/ CHIEF STAFF ATTORNEY STATE OF WASHINGTON



August 16, 2017

TEMPLE OF JUSTIC

P.O. BOX 40929 OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0929

(360) 357-2077
e-mail: supreme@courts.wa.gov
www.courts.wa.gov

Sing Cho Ng P.O. Box 14551 Seattle, WA 98114

Evan Lee Loeffler (sent by e-mail only) Loeffler Law Group PLLC 500 Union Street, Suite 1025 Seattle, WA 98101-2300

Re: Supreme Court No. 94599-3 - Sing Cho Ng v. Bing Kung Association

Court of Appeals No. 75751-2-I

Counsel and Mr. Ng:

Upon further review, it appears that the motion for discretionary review filed in this case should be redesignated a petition for review. A petition for review is the appropriate method for seeking review of a Court of Appeals "decision terminating review." See RAP 13.4. A "decision terminating review" is defined in RAP 12.3. That definition is met in this case because the Court of Appeals underlying order was appealable as a matter of right, review was terminated unconditionally, and involved a ruling by a clerk dismissing review.

The fee to file a petition for review is \$200. It is noted that the Court of Appeals waived the filing fee for the Petitioner. If the Petitioner wishes to seek a waiver of the filing fee in this Court, he must serve and file a motion for waiver of the filing fee. If the Court does not receive either the \$200 filing fee or a motion to waive the filing fee by September 15, 2017, this matter will likely be dismissed.

Sincerely,

Erin L. Lennon

Supreme Court Deputy Clerk

ELL:jd